

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/925,786	08/09/2001		James Davis	081607-1150	5372
6980	7590	05/02/2006		EXAMINER	
***************************************		DERS LLP	JAROENCHONWANIT, BUNJOB		
600 PEACH ATLANTA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, 555			2152	
				DATE MAILED: 05/02/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/925,786	DAVIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nabil M. El-Hady	2152	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression 1.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the conference of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date all submitted with ACE	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	(PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/925,786 Page 2

Art Unit: 2152

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance or after an Office action under *Ex Parte Quayle*, 25 USPQ 74, 453 O.G. 213 (Comm'r Pat. 1935). Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, prosecution in this application has been reopened pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 12/15/2005 has been entered.

- 2. Claims 1-27 are pending in this application.
- 3. The allowability of claims 1-27 of the last office action by the examiner is withdrawn due to new prior art submitted by the applicant after allowance.
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-6 and 8-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham et al. (US 6,124,806), hereafter "Cunningham" in view of Robert E. Kahn (The Organization of Computer Resources into a Packet Radio Network, IEEE, 1977, hereinafter "Robert".

Application/Control Number: 09/925,786

Art Unit: 2152

6. Cunningham is cited by the examiner in a previous office action, and Robert is cited by the applicant in IDS paper.

Page 3

7. As to claims 1 and 23, Cunningham discloses the invention including a site controller (DCM 112, Fig. 1) adapted to be used in an automated monitoring system configured for monitoring and controlling a plurality of remote devices (SIM 102, Fig. 1) via a host computer connected to a first communication network (CN 118, Fig. 1), the site controller configured for controlling communication with the host computer (HM 120, Fig. 1) and a plurality of communication devices that define a second communication network associated with the plurality of remote devices (108, Fig. 1; col. 4, lines 47-67), wherein the second communication network comprises a first communication device associated with a first remote device and a second communication device associated with a second remote device (Master Telemetry Network Repeater 6330; Telemetry Network Repeater 6328; Telemetry gateway 6326. Telemetry Interface Modules 6318, 6320, and 6324, Fig. 49), the site controller comprising: a transceiver configured to communicate with the plurality of communication devices via the second communication network (2008, Fig. 25; and inherent in col. 4, lines 56-60; and col. 6, lines 11-18; 45-49); a network interface device configured to communicate with the host computer via the first communication network (inherent in col. 4, lines 60-62; and col. 7, lines 19-24); logic configured to manage communication with each of the plurality of communication devices (col. 22, line 8 to col. 23, line 57; and Figs. 35 and 36), via a first communication protocol (col. 12, lines 52-59; and col. 33, line 45 to col. 34, line 49), based on one or more communication paths for each of the plurality of communication devices, and manage communication with the host computer via a second communication protocol (col. 45, line 54 to col. 46, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/925,786

Art Unit: 2152

8. Cunningham does not explicitly disclose each communication path comprising one or more communication devices involved in the communication link between the transceiver and each of the plurality of communication devices. Robert, on the other hand, discloses in a similar art that each communication path comprising one or more communication devices involved in the communication link between the transceiver and each of the plurality of communication devices (Fig. 5; pages 173-174 under SYSTEM STRUCTURE). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Cunningham and Robert because Robert's teachings would enhance Cunningham's system by providing an effective to interconnect communication devices and achieve area coverage beyond line of sight (see, Robert abstract), as well as satisfying the need for reliable backup of all the critical system functions (see, Robert, page 171, left column, 2nd paragraph).

Page 4

9. As to claim 15, the claim is rejected for the same reasons as claims 1 and 23 above. In addition, Cunningham discloses a method for controlling communication with a host computer (Host Module HM 122, Fig 1) connected to a first communication network (Communication network CN 118, Fig. 1) and a plurality of communication devices (Sensor Interface Module SIM 102, Fig. 1) that define a second communication network (hardwire or Wireless transmission 108, Fig. 1) associated with a plurality of remote devices (inherent) that are to be monitored and controlled by the host computer (Host Module HM 122, Fig.1), the method comprising the steps of determining a unique address for each of the plurality of communication devices by receiving an initialization message (inherent in col. 13, lines 54-56; col. 14, lines 12-20; and col. 15, lines 4-12), determining with which of the plurality of communications devices that each of the plurality of communication devices has a communication link (inherent in col. 6, lines 20-50);

Application/Control Number: 09/925,786

Art Unit: 2152

based on the plurality of unique addresses and which of the plurality of communications devices each of the plurality of communication devices has a communication link with, determining one or more communication paths associated with each of the plurality of communication devices (inherent in col. 6, line 51 to col. 7, line 17; and col. 16, lines 20-35); managing communication with each of the plurality of communication devices (col. 22, line 8 to col. 23, line 57; and Figs. 35 and 36), via a first communication protocol (col. 12, lines 52-59; and col. 33, line 45 to col. 34, line 49), based on or more of the communication paths associated with each of the plurality of communication devices (col. 6, lines 20-31; and 108, Fig. 1); and managing communication with the host computer via a second communication protocol (col. 45, line 54 to col. 46, line 5). Robert discloses determining a first communication path associated with a first communication device of the plurality of communication devices in communication with the first communication device of the plurality of communication devices in communication with the first communication device, wherein the first communication device is associated with a first remote device and the second communication device is associated with a second remote device (Fig. 5; pages 173-174 under SYSTEM STRUCTURE).

Page 5

- 10. As to claim 2, Cunningham discloses the logic as software and discloses a micro controller for implementing the logic (col. 22, lines 7-8).
- 11. As to claims 3, 16, and 24, Cunningham discloses each of the plurality of communication devices are wireless communication devices (col. 6, lines 11-13), the plurality of wireless communication devices being disposed throughout a geographic area such that the antenna patterns associated with the plurality of wireless communication device overlap to create a

Art Unit: 2152

coverage area that defines the second communication network (col. 6, lines 11-19; col. 7, lines 32-44; and col. 14, lines 1-11).

- 12. As to claims 4, 17, and 25, Cunningham discloses the first communication network as a wide area network (col. 32, lines 41-45; and col. 45, lines 60-67) and the second communication protocol comprises TCP/IP (col. 34, lines 58-65).
- 13. As to claim 6, Cunningham discloses the network interface device is selected from the group consisting of a dial-up modern, an ISDN card, a DSL modern, and a LAN card (col.32, lines 41-45).
- 14. As to claim 8, Cunningham discloses one or more look-up tables residing in a memory (col. 31, lines 6-17).
- 15. As to claims 10, 11, and 21, Cunningham discloses the logic is configured to receive a first message generated by one of the plurality of communication devices via the second communication network, the first message comprising a first communication device identifier associated with the one of the plurality of communication devices associated with one of the plurality of remote devices that generated the first message (col. 13, lines 54-56) and a predetermined function code corresponding to a data signal provided by the one of the plurality of remote devices associated with the one of the plurality of wireless communication devices that generated the message (col. 14, lines 20-24), the logic is configured to determine, based on the first communication device identifier, the one of the wireless communication devices that generated the first data signal (col. 14, lines 18-20).

Art Unit: 2152

- 16. As to claim 12, Cunningham discloses the logic is configured to translate the first message into a second message configured for transmission to the host computer via the first communication network (col. 32, lines 46-54).
- 17. As to claim 20, Cunningham discloses receiving a request, via the first communication network, from the host computer for information related to one of the plurality of remote devices, providing a command message to the second communication network for delivery to the one of the plurality of remote devices based on one of the communication paths associated with the communication device corresponding to the one of the plurality of remote devices (col. 32, lines 15-24; col. 44, lines 14-35, 54-64; and col.45, lines 54-59).
- 18. As to claim 22, Cunningham discloses providing the data signal to the first communication network for delivery to the host computer (118, 120, and 122 of Fig. 1).
- 19. As to claims 5, 9, 18, 19, and 26, Cunningham discloses the first communication protocol comprises a data packet, the data packet comprising: a from address, and a command number comprising a function code, a data field, a checksum field; and a packet number field (col. 14, lines 13-54; and Fig. 21). Cunningham, however, does not discloses other fields in the packet, such as a to address, a packet length field; a packet maximum field, and a message number field. However, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to that an extended packet fields would increase the communication efficiency in Cunningham's system by allowing for broadcast messages and avoiding network congestion, an may be included as well in an associated communication protocol. Robert discloses that the

Art Unit: 2152

complete routing information for each packet is inserted with the packet as it moves the network. (Page 175, left column, 3rd paragraph).

- 20. As to claims 13 and 14, the claims are rejected for the same reasons as claim 1 above. However, Cunningham does not explicitly disclose a second communication identifier associated with an intermediate communication device. Robert, on the other hand, discose that a second communication identifier associated with an intermediate communication device (obvious from page 174, where packet radio is equipped to retransmit by radio some or all packets which it receives).
- 21. Claims 7 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cunningham in view of Robert as applied to claims 1-6 and 8-26 above, and further in view of Jil A. Westcott (Issues in Distributed Routing for Mobile Packet Radio networks), IEEE, 1982, hereinafter "Jil".
- 22. Jil is cited by the applicant in an IDS paper.
- 23. As to claim 7, and 27, Cunningham and Robert does not explicitly disclose receiving initialization commands from the plurality of communication devices. Jil, on the other hand, discloses receiving initialization commands from the plurality of communication devices (page 233, lines 1-6 under Design Overview). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention to combine the teachings of Cunningham and Robert with the teachings of Jil because Jil's receiving initialization commands from the plurality of communication devices would assist in configuring look-up tables for message communication

Page 9

Art Unit: 2152

between devices in Cunningham's system (see, Jil, page 233, lines 1-6 under Design Overview).

- 24. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 25. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filling of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/925,786 Page 10

Art Unit: 2152

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nabil M. El-Hady whose telephone number is (571) 272-3963. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bunjob Jaroenchonwanit can be reached on (571) 272-3913. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

April 28, 2006

Nabil El-Hady, Ph.D, M.B.A.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2152